

Ref	Date	Task Description	Hrs Billed	PI Exp. hrs allowed	A Exp. Objis.	A Exp. Hrs Allowed	PI exp. Response	JUDGE
22	7/11/2013	MH-Prepare MSJ re: Count II.	1.30	1.30	Not on docket	0.00	to be discussed in court	
27	7/12/2013	MH-Prepare letter to OC requesting deposition dates.	0.10	0.00	clerical	0.00	agreed	
28	7/12/2013	MH-Prepare civil remedy notice related to post claims underwriting.	0.20	0.20		0.20		
29	7/12/2013	MH-Prepare letter to opposing counsel related to post claims underwriting.	0.20	0.20	Unbundling of time with line above	0.00	"unbundling" see Plaintiff's Expert	
41	8/5/2013	MH-Review privilege log to determine whether to file a mt. to compel a better privilege log.	0.20	0.20	Duplicative of PL on lines 39 & 40; non compensable for overlapping efforts	0.00		
43	8/9/2013	MH-Receipt and review of defendant's notice of filing answers to interrogatories re Material Misrepresentation and accord satisfaction	0.30	0.30		0.30		
46	9/4/2013	MH-Receipt and review of defendant's response to Request to Produce regarding the denials and MH-Receipt and review of defendant's response to Interrogatories regarding the denials and allegations of request for admissions.	0.20	0.20		0.20		
47	9/4/2013		0.40	0.40		0.40		

56	11/26/2013	MH-Receipt and review of defendant's notice of change of substitution of counsel.	0.10	0.10		0.10		
57	1/10/2014	MH-Receipt and review of defendant's response to RTP. 271 pages.	1.50	1.50	Not on docket	0.00		
90	5/21/2014	MH-Receipt and review of defendants amended response to #7 on RFA.	0.10	0.10	Excessive and unbundling of time on lines 90-96; allowing .30 in total	0.10		
115	1/2/2015	MH-Draft letter to client regarding status	0.30	0.30		0.30		
116	10/6/2015	MH-Prepare Motion for Final Summary Judgment	0.40	0.40		0.40		
118	12/23/2015	MH-Review status of File Bencomo, Reinaldo v. Infinity (Excellent) -	0.10	0.10	Vague & ambiguous	0.00		
119	2/15/2016	MH-Reviewed file and docket to determine future litigation strategy.	0.30	0.30		0.00		
120	6/21/2016	MH-Prepare Notice of Trial.	0.10	0.10	Vague & ambiguous	0.10		
121	6/21/2016	MH-Prepare Notice of Record Activity.	0.10	0.10	Caused by Plaintiff's own lack of due diligence	0.00		
122	6/21/2016	MH-Receipt and Review of Notice of Lack of Prosecution.	0.10	0.10	Caused by Plaintiff's own lack of due diligence	0.00		

126	11/9/2016	MH-Create initial trial issue notebook, including outline for this claim. Examine issues and witnesses, and assign tasks. Review prior calendar.	1.10	1.10	Unreasonable; trial set for March 2017. Requesting incamera inspection re: trial outline, memos, notes, etc.	0.00		
127	11/11/2016	MH-Research case law on admitting certain evidence that Defendant must admit to prove its misrepresentation defense and if there is a way we can prove our case without that same evidence. Update trial outline, and update what needs to be done. Review prior deadlines in case, add memo.	1.50	1.50	Unreasonable; trial set for March 2017. Requesting incamera inspection re: trial outline, memos, notes, etc.	0.00		
128	12/21/2016	MH- Research case law special jury instruction	1.50	1.50	Unreasonable; trial set for March 2017. Requesting incamera inspection re: trial outline, memos, notes, etc.	0.00		
129	1/11/2017	MH-Receipt and Review of Defendants Notice of Filing Confession of Judgement and Acknowledgement of Entitlement to Reasonableness Attorney Fees and Costs.	0.10	0.10		0.10		
130	1/11/2017	MH-Prepare and File Motion for Fees.	0.20	0.20		0.20		
131	1/18/2017	MH-Prepare and File Motion for Fees.	0.30	0.30		0.30		

133	8/3/2017	MH-Receipt and review of Notice Of Hearing	0.10	0.00	Time spent establishing attorney fees and costs	0.00		
134	8/26/2017	MH-Receipt and review of Notice Of Cancellation Of Hearing	0.10	0.00	Time spent establishing attorney fees and costs	0.00		
			fl.	fl. EXP.				
		TOTAL HOURS REQUESTED	10.90	10.60			2.70	
		COURT COSTS	\$480.10	\$ 480.10		\$ 480.10		
		REQUESTED HOURLY RATE	fl. EXP.	Δ EXP.				
	Atty M. Hamm	\$	600.00	\$ 550.00	\$	425.00		

Ref	Date	Task Description	Hours Billed	II exp. hours allowed	A exp. Hours Allowed	A exp. Objections	II exp. Response	Judge
123	11/8/2016	LL Prepare updated reply to answer.	1.00	1.00	0.50	Excessive; needs to prorate with companion suit	Prorating is required where an attorney "double bills" for the same work on two cases. However, here the attorney only billed for actual time spent on each case. No case requires attorney to pro-rate for actual time. The case cited by Defendant says it is not reasonable "to bill the same amount of hours per case to produce duplicative work." However the work here was not duplicative, or the same. Further, not excessive as this answer also contained "novel issue" of saying the suit will "not serve public interest" as a defense to payment, and material misrepresentation cases tend to be somewhat unique.	
124	11/8/2016	LL Prepare letter to opposing counsel regarding deposition dates.	.20	0.20	0.10	Excessive	Not excessive as attorney must review whose specific deposition is needed.	

